

1 MAKAREM & ASSOCIATES, APLC Ronald W. Makarem, Esq. (SBN 180442) 2 makarem@law-rm.com William A. Baird, Esq. (SBN 192675) 3 baird@law-rm.com Daniel J. Bass, Esq. (SBN 287466) 4 bass@law-rm.com 11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2440 Los Angeles, CA 90025-2440 5 Tel.: (310) 312-0299 Fax: (310) 312-0296 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 Christie Winston individually and on behalf of all others 9 similarly situated 10 11 12 13 14 15

2022 JAN 18 PM 4: 05

LAW AND MOTION DEPT. 59/84 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA SAGRAMENTO COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

CHRISTIE WINSTON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs.

VS.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXECAP, INC., a California Limited Liability Corporation, EXECUTIVE AUTOPILOTS, INC., a California Corporation, ANDREY KALCHENKO, an individual, and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 34-2018-00234725-CU-OE-GDS

|PROPOSED| ORDER: PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date:

January 18, 2022

1:30 p.m.

Time:

53

Dept:

Res. No: 2606279

26

27

28

4814-4130-2610.1

This matter came on for hearing on January 18, 2022 at 1:30 p.m., in the above-entitled court located at 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California, 95814, on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having fully reviewed and considered the moving papers, and having analyzed the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") between Plaintiff Christie Winston ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Execap, Inc., Executive Autopilots, Inc., and Andrey Kalchenko, (hereinafter "Defendants"), attached as Exhibit "1" to the Declaration of Daniel J. Bass and the Parties' Class Action Settlement Agreement Addendum ("Addendum") attached as Exhibit "2" to the Declaration of Daniel J. Bass,

THIS COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING ORDERS:

- 1. This Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed Settlement Agreement, as amended, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate.
- 2. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as those set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Class Notice Packet, attached as Exhibit "3" to the Declaration of Daniel J. Bass, is hereby approved.
- 4. The Court finds that the distribution of the Class Notice in the manner set forth herein substantially meets the requirements of California law and due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 5. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the following class is hereby provisionally certified pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 as follows:

The "Class" means all non-exempt hourly employees who worked for Defendants in the State of California during the Class Period. "Class Period" means the period from June 13, 2014 through January 6, 2021 the date the Court previously granted preliminary approval in this matter.

- 6. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve Ronald W. Makarem, Daniel J. Bass, and William A. Baird of Makarem & Associates, APLC ("Plaintiff's Counsel or Class Counsel") as Class Counsel.
- 7. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve Christie Winston as Class Representative.
- 8. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve of and appoint CPT Group, Inc., as the Parties' Settlement Administrator, and approves of settlement administration costs of up to \$8,000.
- 9. The Court finds that the section of the Settlement Agreement regarding the disposition of uncashed checks complies with California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 382.4 and 384 by providing that any unclaimed settlement funds (settlement checks that expire) shall be transferred to the California State Controller's Office and held in trust for such Settlement Class Members pursuant to California Unpaid Property Law.
- 10. A final fairness hearing (the "Final Approval Hearing") shall be set on 05/18/22, 2022, in Department 53 of this Court, the review the notice process and objections, if any, and to determine whether the proposed settlement on the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be approved by the Court; whether the Judgment as provided in the Settlement Agreement should be entered into; and to determine the amount of attorneys' fees and costs that should be awarded to Class Counsel and the amount of the Class Representative Enhancement Awards that should be awarded to the Representative Plaintiff.
- 11. The Settlement Administrator shall supervise and administer the notice procedure as follows:
 - a. Within ten (10) calendar days after this Preliminary Approval is granted by the Court, Defendants shall provide to the Settlement Administrator the names, addresses, social security numbers and work week information of all Class Members during the class period.

b. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving the Class Member contact information from Defendants, the Settlement Administrator shall send the Class Notice Packet to each Class Member by First-Class mail.

- c. At the time the parties file their motion for final approval of the settlement, Class Counsel shall provide the Court with a declaration by the Settlement Administrator, specifying the due diligence it undertook with regard to the mailing of the Class Notice Packet; verifying its settlement administration costs; and reporting on the number of opt-outs, objections, disputed, and average Individual Settlement Payment amounts.
- 12. Any Class Member who wishes to be excluded (opt-out) from the Settlement Class and not participate in the proposed settlement must execute a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator within thirty (30) days, as set forth in the Class Notice Packet. To be timely, the request for exclusion must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked by the (30) day deadline. Any Class Member who opts out of the Settlement will be ineligible to receive a settlement payment, but will not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or the release of claims contained therein.
- 13. Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement may do so by sending a written Objection to the Settlement Administrator no later than (30) days after the date on which the Class Notice Packet was initially mailed to Class Members. Any Class Member may submit to the Settlement Administrator a written statement objecting to the Settlement that sets forth the grounds for the objection. Any Class Member may appear at the Final Approval Hearing, with or without prior notice, and show cause, if he or she has any, why the proposed Settlement should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, why attorneys' fees and costs should or should not be awarded to Class Counsel as requested, or why the Class Representative Service Award should or should not be awarded as requested. Class Members who validly opt out of the Settlement may not also object to the Settlement.
- 15. The Court further finds that the proposed funding plan for the Settlement is reasonable and as set forth as follows:

1

22.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

either (a) the date sixty (60) days after the entry of the Final Judgment and order finally approving the Settlement, if no motions for reconsideration and no appeals or other efforts to obtain review have been filed, or (b) in the event that a motion for reconsideration, an appeal or other effort to obtain review of the Final Judgment and order finally approving the Settlement, the date sixty (60) days after such reconsideration, appeal or review has been finally concluded and is no longer subject to review, whether by appeal, petition for rehearing, petition for review or otherwise and the Settlement has not been materially modified. 16. Upon the Order of this Court granting Final Approval of the Amended Settlement

Settlement checks will be distributed fourteen (14) calendar days after the Funding

- Agreement, the Settlement Administrator shall be authorized to release 90% of the approved Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Class Counsel. The remaining 10% of the approved Attorneys' Fees and Costs shall be retained by the Settlement Administrator.
- 17. The Court will set a compliance hearing for approximately sixty (60) days following final distribution of the settlement funds.
- 18. The Court's preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement is not to be deemed an admission of liability or fault by Defendants, or a finding as to the validity of any claims or defenses asserted in the action. Upon Court order approving of the final distribution of the settlement funds, the Settlement Administrator shall be authorized to release the remaining 10% of Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Class Counsel.
- 19. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class Members, and it will retain jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JAN 18 2022 Dated:

SHAMA H. MESIWALA

Judge of the Superior Court

4814-4130-2610.1